

1 Deborah A. Sivas (CA Bar No. 135446)  
Alicia E. Thesing (CA Bar No. 211751)  
2 Matthew J. Sanders (CA Bar No. 222757)  
ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CLINIC  
3 Mills Legal Clinic at Stanford Law School  
559 Nathan Abbott Way  
4 Stanford, California 94305-8610  
Telephone: (650) 723-0325  
5 Facsimile: (650) 723-4426  
Email: dsivas@stanford.edu  
6 Email: athesing@stanford.edu  
Email: matthewjsanders@stanford.edu  
7

8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs (see Signature Page for  
complete list).

9  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12

13 PIT RIVER TRIBE; NATIVE COALITION  
14 FOR MEDICINE LAKE HIGHLANDS  
DEFENSE; MOUNT SHASTA  
15 BIOREGIONAL ECOLOGY CENTER; and  
MEDICINE LAKE CITIZENS FOR  
16 QUALITY ENVIRONMENT,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT;  
20 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE  
INTERIOR; CALPINE CORPORATION; and  
21 CPN TELEPHONE FLAT, INC.,

22 Defendants.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No. 2:19-cv-02483-JAM-AC

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR  
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND  
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

**INTRODUCTION**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1. This is an action for declaratory judgment and injunctive relief in connection with the continuing existence of federal Geothermal Resources Lease identified as No. CA12372 (“Lease”), issued by the Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”), and the BLM-managed Glass Mountain Unit, created by the Unit Agreement for the Development and Operation of the Glass Mountain Area (“Unit Agreement”), on public land in northeastern California. The Lease is currently held by Calpine Corporation or its wholly owned subsidiary CPN Telephone Flat, Inc. (collectively “Calpine”). Pursuant to the Unit Agreement, as amended over time, Calpine is also the operator of the Glass Mountain Unit and the sole owner of all federal geothermal leases remaining in the Unit.

2. Plaintiffs challenge the current and ongoing validity of the Lease on the grounds that BLM and the United States Department of the Interior (collectively “Federal Defendants”) are engaged in an ongoing failure to comply with their mandatory duty to terminate the Lease, as required by the Geothermal Steam Act, 30 U.S.C. § 1001 et seq., its implementing regulations at 43 C.F.R. Part 3200 et seq., and the Lease itself, in response to Calpine’s continuing refusal to make diligent efforts toward the utilization of geothermal resources. Plaintiffs do not, by way of this case, challenge or intend to challenge BLM’s issuance of the Lease in 1982, BLM’s conclusion in 1989 that the Lease at that time contained a well capable of producing geothermal steam in commercial quantities, or BLM’s decision in 1991 to continue the Lease for so long thereafter as Calpine undertakes diligent efforts to produce and utilize geothermal in commercial quantities.

3. Plaintiffs also challenge Federal Defendants’ ongoing failure to comply with their mandatory duty to terminate the Unit Agreement, as required by the Geothermal Steam Act, its implementing regulations, and the Unit Agreement itself, in response to Calpine’s ongoing default under the Agreement, including its failure to pursue a continuous program of exploratory drilling or to commence actual production of geothermal resources on any lease in the Unit. BLM’s long-standing violation of its clear statutory and regulatory mandates has allowed Calpine to continue holding more than two dozen federal leases for many years without conducting any meaningful

1 exploration or taking any meaningful steps toward development and utilization, at the expense of  
2 other multiple use values on the leased public lands and to the detriment of Plaintiffs.

3 4. Plaintiffs seek an order: (1) declaring that because Calpine is not in compliance  
4 with the requirements of the Geothermal Steam Act, its implementing regulations, the Lease, and  
5 the Unit Agreement, Federal Defendants are in ongoing violation of the requirements of the  
6 Geothermal Steam Act and its implementing regulations to terminate the Lease and the Unit  
7 Agreement; (2) directing Federal Defendants to terminate the Lease and Unit Agreement; and (3)  
8 enjoining any further activity in reliance on the Lease or Unit Agreement.

9 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10 5. The Court's jurisdiction over this action is conferred by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (federal  
11 question), 1346 (United States as defendant), 1362 (suits brought by Indian Tribes) and/or 2201-  
12 2202 (declaratory and injunctive relief).

13 6. Venue is properly vested in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1), 1391(b)(2)  
14 and/or 1391(e)(1) because all Defendants reside in California, Defendant BLM has an office in the  
15 Eastern District of California, and some of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims  
16 occurred in the Eastern District of California.

17 **PARTIES**

18 7. Plaintiff PIT RIVER TRIBE (Ahjumawi-Atsuge Nation or "Tribe") is a federally  
19 recognized sovereign Indian Tribe consisting of eleven autonomous bands. The Tribe is located in  
20 parts of Shasta, Siskiyou, Modoc and Lassen Counties, and its ancestral territory includes  
21 Medicine Lake and the surrounding Highlands. In 1987, the United States Department of the  
22 Interior, acting through its Assistant Secretary, formally approved the Constitution adopted by the  
23 Pit River Tribe, acknowledging the Tribe's ancestral lands, including Medicine Lake and the  
24 surrounding Highlands, and the Tribe's relationship with these lands. The Tribe and its individual  
25 members have a long history of using Medicine Lake and the Highlands for religious and cultural  
26 purposes. For at least 10,000 years, members of the Pit River Tribe have used Medicine Lake and  
27 the Highlands for religious activities such as vision quests, religious prayers and teaching,  
28 traditional shaman/doctoring practices, life cycle ceremonies, collection of traditional foods,

1 medicines, and materials, spiritual renewal, and quiet contemplation. The Ahjumawi and  
2 Atwamsini Bands of the Tribe continue to use the Medicine Lake Highlands area for these cultural  
3 and religious purposes, and the area in its entirety is extremely significant to the cultural  
4 continuity of these bands and to the Tribe as a whole. The Tribe and its individual members  
5 derive spiritual, cultural, religious, health, environmental and aesthetic benefits from Medicine  
6 Lake and the Highlands. These benefits depend on the physical, environmental, and visual  
7 integrity of these areas, and their quietude. The exploration and development of geothermal leases  
8 will interfere with these positive qualities of Medicine Lake and the Highlands. Thus, the interests  
9 of the Pit River Tribe and its members have been, are being, and, unless the relief requested herein  
10 is granted, will continue to be, injured by Defendants' failure to comply with applicable law in  
11 connection with the Lease and Unit Agreement.

12           8.       Plaintiff NATIVE COALITION FOR MEDICINE LAKE HIGHLANDS  
13 DEFENSE ("Native Coalition") is a non-profit organization dedicated to the preservation of  
14 cultural and environmental values in the Medicine Lake Highlands, which are sacred not only to  
15 the Pit River Tribe but also to other Tribes of northeastern California and southeastern Oregon.  
16 The Native Coalition includes among its members the California Council of Tribal Governments,  
17 the Intertribal Council of California, and representatives from the Pit River, Modoc, Karuk, Shasta  
18 and Wintu Tribes. Members of the Native Coalition use Medicine Lake and the Highlands for a  
19 variety of spiritual and traditional cultural purposes, such as religious prayers, spiritual quests and  
20 teaching, traditional shaman/doctoring practices, life cycle ceremonies, collection of traditional  
21 foods, medicines, and material, quiet contemplation and general spiritual renewal. These purposes  
22 depend on the physical, environmental, and visual integrity of these areas, and their quietude. The  
23 exploration and development of geothermal leases will interfere with these positive qualities of  
24 Medicine Lake and the Highlands. Thus, the interests of the Native Coalition and its members  
25 have been, are being, and, unless the relief requested herein is granted, will continue to be injured  
26 by Defendants' failure to comply with applicable law in connection with the Lease and Unit  
27 Agreement.

28

1           9.       Plaintiff MOUNT SHASTA BIOREGIONAL ECOLOGY CENTER (“Ecology  
2 Center”) is a non-profit public benefit corporation formed in 1988 and incorporated in 1991 for the  
3 purpose of advancing public understanding of, and respect for, the environmental and cultural  
4 resources of Mount Shasta and the surrounding area, including the Medicine Lake Highlands. The  
5 Ecology Center works closely with Native American tribal representatives and advocates for  
6 protection of the Native American traditional cultural values and resources that exist within the  
7 Medicine Lake Highlands. The Ecology Center’s members use and enjoy Medicine Lake and the  
8 Medicine Lake Highlands for recreational activities, scientific research and spiritual fulfilment,  
9 and derive spiritual, recreational, health, conservation, scientific and aesthetic benefits from the  
10 preservation of the area in its natural state. These benefits depend on the physical, environmental,  
11 and visual integrity of these areas, and their quietude. The exploration and development of  
12 geothermal leases will interfere with these positive qualities of Medicine Lake and the Highlands.  
13 Thus, the interests of the Ecology Center and its members have been, are being, and, unless the  
14 relief requested herein is granted, will continue to be injured by Defendants’ failure to comply  
15 with applicable law in connection with the Lease and Unit Agreement.

16           10.       Plaintiff MEDICINE LAKE CITIZENS FOR QUALITY ENVIRONMENT  
17 (“Medicine Lake Citizens”) is a nonprofit public benefit corporation formed in 1998 for the  
18 purpose of educating the public about the unique environmental and spiritual resources of  
19 Medicine Lake and its surrounding forests, mountain peaks, scenic vistas, unusual volcanic  
20 structures and plentiful wildlife. The members of Medicine Lake Citizens use and enjoy Medicine  
21 Lake and the Highlands for hiking, photography, bird observation, fishing, hunting, nature study,  
22 aesthetic enjoyment and the collection of forest products for arts and crafts. These benefits depend  
23 on the physical, environmental, and visual integrity of these areas, and their quietude. The  
24 exploration and development of geothermal leases will interfere with these positive qualities of  
25 Medicine Lake and the Highlands. Thus, the interests of Medicine Lake Citizens and its members  
26 have been, are being, and, unless the relief requested herein is granted, will continue to be injured  
27 by Defendants’ failure to comply with applicable law in connection with the Lease and Unit  
28 Agreement.

1           11.       Plaintiffs collectively have an interest in the orderly and lawful administration of  
2 geothermal resources in the Medicine Lake Highlands area, including the timely review and  
3 termination of leases and other agreements relating to lands that cannot be or are not being used to  
4 produce geothermal steam. The unlawful continuing existence of such leases and other  
5 agreements with private parties prevents Plaintiffs from fully accessing the public lands at issue in  
6 this case and from pursuing their interest in long-term protection and sustainable management of  
7 these lands and their natural resources. For instance, Plaintiffs have petitioned the U.S. Forest  
8 Service, pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, to amend relevant existing land and  
9 resource management plans in a way that is consistent with the Forest Service’s Historic  
10 Properties Management Plan for these lands, which was developed with Plaintiffs assistance over  
11 many years, but has never been fully implemented. The Forest Service is unlikely to implement  
12 this plan while geothermal leases continue to exist, to the detriment of Plaintiffs.

13           12.       Defendant BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT (“BLM”) is an agency within  
14 the United States Department of the Interior charged with managing certain lands and natural  
15 resources owned by the federal government, including subsurface geothermal resources within the  
16 Glass Mountain Unit. BLM issued the Lease and Unit Agreement at issue in this case. BLM’s  
17 decisions and communications regarding the Lease, Glass Mountain Unit, and Unit Agreement  
18 originated from BLM’s California State Office.

19           13.       Defendant UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR is ultimately  
20 responsible for ensuring that the federal geothermal resources at issue in this lawsuit are managed  
21 in accordance with applicable law and for ensuring that BLM complies with its duties under law.  
22 The Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance within the Department of the Interior, by its  
23 own description, “provides for a coordinated and unified approach and response to environmental  
24 issues that affect multiple bureaus” and “provides guidance for the Department’s compliance with  
25 the full range of existing environmental statutes, executive orders, regulations and other  
26 requirements.” The Department’s regional Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance for  
27 the region covering California, Nevada, and Arizona is located in San Francisco, California.

28

1 14. Defendant CALPINE CORPORATION is a for-profit corporation with regional  
2 headquarters in Dublin, California that oversees the corporation’s renewable energy development  
3 in California. According to Calpine Corporation’s website, Calpine owns and operates twenty-  
4 four power plants and maintains five corporate offices in California, including in Dublin, Alameda  
5 County, California. Calpine Corporation’s founding office in San Jose, California served as its  
6 corporate headquarters until 2009 during much of the period covered by Plaintiffs’ claims in this  
7 case. All recent communications from Federal Defendants in regard to the Lease and Unit  
8 Agreement have been directed to Calpine Corporation in Middletown, California.

9 15. Defendant CPN TELEPHONE FLAT, INC. is a wholly owned subsidiary of  
10 Calpine Corporation currently operating from Middletown, California, and is an entity with whom  
11 BLM also regularly corresponds regarding compliance with the Lease and Unit Agreement.

12 16. Calpine Corporation or CPN Telephone Flat, Inc. (collectively hereinafter  
13 “Calpine”) holds the geothermal Lease at issue in this case, as well as the other leases comprising  
14 the Glass Mountain Unit, and serves as the operator of that Unit. To the best of Plaintiffs’  
15 knowledge, no other individual or company holds federal geothermal leases within the Glass  
16 Mountain Unit. Despite Calpine’s total control of the Unit, Calpine has failed to conduct diligent  
17 efforts toward the utilization of geothermal steam on the Lease and in the Glass Mountain Unit as  
18 required under the Geothermal Steam Act, the Lease, and the Unit Agreement. Calpine is properly  
19 named as a defendant in this action because, in its absence, complete relief cannot be afforded to  
20 Plaintiffs. National Wildlife Federation v. Espy, 45 F.3d 1337, 1344 (9th Cir. 1995).

## 21 BACKGROUND

### 22 A. The Medicine Lake Highlands

23 17. Medicine Lake and its surrounding Highlands provide a diversity of landscapes,  
24 including freshwater springs, lava flows, volcanic peaks, fertile marshes and grasslands, and dense  
25 forests across three contiguous National Forests – the Modoc, Klamath, and Shasta-Trinity. The  
26 area’s mixed conifer forests – interspersed with lava fields, cinder cones, and obsidian rock –  
27 support some 400 species of wildlife, including bald eagles and northern spotted owls. The  
28

1 Highlands also support a designated old-growth reserve and the 10,800-acre Mount Hoffman  
2 Roadless Area on the Modoc National Forest.

3 18. These unique features have made the Highlands a place of sacred power and  
4 cultural significance to Native Americans for over 10,000 years. The sacredness and significance  
5 of the area is tied not only to specific geologic features or particular environmental resources, but  
6 also to the physical, environmental, and spiritual integrity of the landscape as a whole.

7 19. As the ancestral home and spiritual heart of the Pit River people, Medicine Lake is  
8 where tribal members return for reconnection and renewal. Many of the tribe's traditions are  
9 interwoven with the land. New fathers run to the mountains to dance and swim following the birth  
10 of a child. Young girls join ceremonies held in sacred mountain sites to mark their transition into  
11 adolescence, while boys journey through the mountains in search of guardian spirits who will  
12 bestow them with good fortune in adulthood. Shamans embark on dream quests in the mountains  
13 in search of *tamakumi* – a powerful spirit who will enable them to heal illnesses. Rooted in the  
14 earth and waters of the Highlands, these cultural practices tie generations of tribal members  
15 together.

16 20. Recognizing the natural and spiritual significance of the Highlands, the Keeper of  
17 the National Register of Historic Places has determined that the Medicine Lake Caldera is eligible  
18 for listing on the Register as a Traditional Cultural Places District. This determination provides  
19 the Medicine Lake Caldera with the same level of protection as an actual listing.

20 21. The lands within the Lease lie within the designated Traditional Cultural Places  
21 District, and the lands within the Unit lie within or adjacent to the District.

22 22. As part of a legal commitment made by BLM and the Forest Service in the May 31,  
23 2000 Record of Decision to approve the Fourmile Hill Geothermal Development Project and a  
24 subsequent Memorandum of Agreement, Plaintiff Pit River Tribe worked extensively for  
25 approximately five years with the federal agencies to develop a Medicine Lake Highlands Historic  
26 Property Management Plan, the purpose of which was to facilitate comprehensive management of  
27 the historic properties, sacred sites, and traditional cultural values within this designated  
28 Traditional Cultural Places District. The recommendations and guidelines of this Historic

1 Properties Management Plan have never been fully implemented due, at least in part, to the  
2 ongoing existence of the private lease rights and the Glass Mountain Unit within the Traditional  
3 Cultural Places District.

4 23. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that full  
5 implementation of the Historic Properties Management Plan will not occur while inactive leases or  
6 unit agreements remain in place.

7 **B. Applicable Leasing Provisions of the Geothermal Steam Act, Its Implementing**  
8 **Regulations, and the Lease**

9 24. The Geothermal Steam Act (or “Act”), originally enacted in 1970, authorized the  
10 Secretary of the Interior to issue ten-year leases for the exploration, development, and production  
11 of geothermal steam from federal lands.

12 25. A geothermal lease issued under the Act expires and terminates automatically at the  
13 end of its ten-year primary term unless it is lawfully extended or continued consistent with the  
14 Act.

15 26. When the Lease was issued in 1982, the Act provided that “[i]f geothermal steam is  
16 produced or utilized in commercial quantities within this term, such lease shall continue for so  
17 long thereafter as geothermal steam is produced or utilized in commercial quantities, but such  
18 continuation shall not exceed an additional forty years.”

19 27. The Geothermal Steam Act defines the phrase “produced or utilized in commercial  
20 quantities” to include not only actual production or utilization, but also “the completion of a well  
21 capable of producing geothermal steam in commercial quantities so long as the Secretary  
22 determines that diligent efforts are being made toward the utilization of the geothermal steam.”

23 28. Thus, the Act provides that where a lessee has completed a well deemed by BLM  
24 to be capable of producing geothermal steam in commercial quantities, that lease may continue  
25 beyond its primary term, but only for so long as diligent efforts are being made toward the  
26 commercial utilization of the geothermal resource.

27 29. The Geothermal Steam Act implementing regulations elaborate on these statutory  
28 lease continuation provisions. While prior versions of the regulations described a lease

1 continuation as an “additional term,” the current regulations refer to such a lease continuation as a  
2 “production extension.” 43 C.F.R. § 3207.15 (hereinafter “production extension regulations”).

3 30. The production extension regulations provide that, in order to grant a production  
4 extension for a geothermal lease, BLM must first determine that the lease either (1) has a well that  
5 is actually producing geothermal resources in commercial quantities or (2) has completed a well  
6 that is capable of producing geothermal resources in commercial quantities and the lessee is  
7 “making diligent efforts toward utilization of the resource.” 43 C.F.R. § 3207.15(b).

8 31. To qualify for, and satisfy the ongoing diligent efforts requirements associated  
9 with, a production extension where there is no actual production but only a capable well, the lessee  
10 must “demonstrate on an annual basis” that the lessee is “making diligent efforts toward utilization  
11 of the resource.” 43 C.F.R. § 3207.15(c).

12 32. For BLM to make an annual determination that a lessee is making diligent efforts  
13 toward utilization of the resource sufficient to qualify for an ongoing production extension, the  
14 lessee must provide, and BLM must consider, information showing the lessee’s diligent actions  
15 and other relevant conditions, such as actions the lessee has taken to identify and define the  
16 geothermal resource on the leasehold or to negotiate marketing arrangements, sales contracts, and  
17 drilling agreements. 43 C.F.R. § 3207.15(e).

18 33. Under the production extensions regulations, production extensions may continue  
19 for up to 35 years “as long as the geothermal resource is being produced or utilized in commercial  
20 quantities.” 43 C.F.R. § 3207.15(g). The term “commercial quantities” is defined by the  
21 regulations to mean (i) for an individual lease, a sufficient volume (in terms of flow and  
22 temperature) of the resource to provide a reasonable return after the lessee meets all costs of  
23 production or (ii) for a unit, a sufficient volume (in terms of flow and temperature) of the resource  
24 to provide a reasonable return after the operator meets all costs of drilling and production. 43  
25 C.F.R. § 3200.1.

26 34. Thus, the production extension regulations provide that, in order to maintain a lease  
27 that has been continued under a production extension where no actual production or utilization has  
28 occurred, BLM must determine on an annual basis that the lessee is engaged in diligent efforts

1 toward utilization of the resource at a volume of flow and temperature that provides a reasonable  
2 return after all costs of production.

3 35. If the lessee fails to satisfy the diligent efforts requirements, the production  
4 extension regulations mandate that BLM terminate the lease.

5 36. Lease CA12372, issued by BLM on June 1, 1982, to provide the exclusive right to  
6 the geothermal resources on 2,560 acres of federal land within the National Forest in Siskiyou  
7 County, California, requires the diligent drilling and production of wells “so that the leased lands  
8 may be properly and timely developed for the production of geothermal steam.”

9 **C. Applicable Unit Agreement Provisions of the Geothermal Steam Act, Its**  
10 **Implementing Regulations, and the Unit Agreement**

11 37. Section 1017 of the Geothermal Steam Act authorizes the Secretary of the Interior  
12 to approve a “unit agreement” for the purpose of conserving natural resources in a single  
13 geothermal reservoir or field if the Secretary determines and certifies that such unit agreement is  
14 necessary or advisable to protect the public interest.

15 38. A “unit agreement” is defined as a “cooperative plan of development or operation”  
16 that allows multiple leaseholders to unite in exploring and developing a single geothermal  
17 reservoir or field. 30 U.S.C § 1017(a).

18 39. The Geothermal Steam Act implementing regulations elaborate on the formation,  
19 operation, and termination of unit agreements. 43 C.F.R. Part 3280 (hereinafter “unit agreement  
20 regulations”).

21 40. A “unit agreement” is defined under the regulations as an agreement for the  
22 exploration, development, production, and utilization of “separately owned interests” in a  
23 geothermal reservoir, field, or like area which provides for the allocation of costs and benefits on a  
24 basis defined in the agreement or plan. 43 C.F.R. § 3280.2. Thus, a unit agreement is intended to  
25 efficiently allocate risk and reward to separately-owned leases that are exploring and developing a  
26 common pool geothermal resource.

27 41. The unit agreement regulations mandate that, in reviewing, approving, and  
28 administering a unit agreement, BLM must ensure that the “public interest” is protected and that

1 the agreement conforms with applicable laws and regulations. The “public interest” is defined as  
2 operation of a unit in a way that results in diligent development; efficient exploration, production  
3 and utilization of the resource; conservation of natural resources; and prevention of waste. 43  
4 C.F.R. § 3280.2.

5 42. BLM manages and oversees operations within a unit through the regulatory concept  
6 of “participating area.” The regulations define “participating area” as that combined part of a unit  
7 area which is reasonably proven to produce from a horizon or deposit or that supports production  
8 in commercial quantities, such as pressure support from injection wells. 43 C.F.R. § 3282.1.  
9 Unitized leases that are not within a participating area must be eliminated from the unit. See 43  
10 C.F.R. § 3286.1. Resource production may not commence until the unit operator submits an  
11 acceptable participating area and BLM approves it. 43 C.F.R. § 3282.2.

12 43. The unit operator must submit an application for a proposed participating area  
13 designation no later than 60 days after BLM determines that a unit well is capable of producing or  
14 utilizing geothermal resources in commercial quantities or 30 days before production commences,  
15 whichever is earlier. 43 C.F.R. § 3282.3.

16 44. To support its application for a proposed participating area, the unit operator must  
17 submit documentation required by 43 C.F.R. § 3282.5, including but not limited to documentation  
18 concerning production and injection wells necessary for unit operations, the area each drains, data  
19 from well testing, and interpretations of well performance and reservoir geology and structure to  
20 document what lands are reasonably proven to produce geothermal resources in commercial  
21 quantities.

22 45. Based upon these submissions, BLM is required to eliminate leases from the unit to  
23 retain only the area reasonably proven to produce commercial quantities of geothermal resources  
24 and establish the effective date for the participating area. To ensure timely compliance with the  
25 diligence requirements of the Geothermal Steam Act, the effective date of a participating area  
26 must be established as either the first day of the month in which a unit well that caused the  
27 participating area to be formed or the start of commercial operations. 43 C.F.R. § 3282.7.

28

1           46. Compliance with the requirements to timely designate a participating area and  
2 timely eliminate lands from the unit are critical to implementing the goals and mandates of the  
3 Geothermal Steam Act. BLM routinely allows individual leases within a unit to satisfy their  
4 statutory lease diligence obligations through compliance with the unit diligence requirements. See  
5 43 C.F.R. § 3284.5. Thus, under BLM’s implementation of the Geothermal Steam Act, individual  
6 leases can escape all statutory diligence obligations by being committed to a unit unless BLM  
7 ensures that the unit operator is timely complies with the unit diligence requirements.

8           47. The regulations provide that, if a unit operator does not meet the minimum unit  
9 obligations, BLM will deem the unit agreement void and will retroactively void any lease  
10 extensions based upon the existence of the unit. 43 C.F.R. § 3284.3.

11           48. In the unit agreement regulations, BLM has specified the contents of a “Model Unit  
12 Agreement” to implement the foregoing requirements. 43 C.F.R. § 3286.1.

13           49. Section 4.3 of the Model Unit Agreement provides that, on the fifth anniversary of  
14 the initial participating area designated shortly after a capable well determination, unitized lands  
15 shall either (1) remain in the Unit Agreement “so long as exploratory drilling operations are  
16 continued diligently,” meaning no more than four months of idle time between drilling exploratory  
17 wells; or (2) be eliminated automatically from the Unit Agreement unless the lands are entitled to  
18 be part of a participating area. Thus, continued exploratory drilling is required to maintain the unit  
19 boundary. Once exploratory drilling ends, the unit must contract down to the participating area  
20 required to drain the proven geothermal resources, if any.

21           50. Article XI of the Model Unit Agreement requires that a unit operator continue to  
22 submit acceptable exploratory drilling and development plans and engage in a continuous drilling  
23 program until the geothermal formation has been tested and the operator has discovered  
24 substances that can be produced in commercial quantities, which under the regulations means that  
25 resources must be sufficient to repay the costs of drilling, completing, and producing operations,  
26 with a reasonable profit. The failure of the unit operator to timely drill any of the wells required in  
27 a plan of development will result in automatic termination of the unit agreement.

28

1           51. Article XII of the Model Unit Agreement provides that the abandonment of all  
2 operations on a participating area will result in automatic termination of the participating area.

3           52. Article XVIII of the Model Unit Agreement provides that the agreement shall  
4 terminate on the fifth anniversary of its effective date unless the date of expiration is extended by  
5 BLM or “unitized substances” are being produced or utilized in “commercial quantities,” as those  
6 terms are defined by law.

7           53. Taken all together, the unit agreement regulations (including the Model Unit  
8 Agreement) provide a regulatory scheme whereby individual leases may satisfy their statutory  
9 diligence obligations through participation in, and timely compliance with, a BLM-approved unit  
10 agreement that requires diligent exploration of the unit lands and continuing BLM oversight to  
11 ensure protection of the public interest.

12           54. The Glass Mountain Unit Agreement, approved by BLM in May 1982, largely  
13 incorporates and reflects the provisions of the Model Unit Agreement.

14 **D. Status of the Lease**

15           55. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the lessee drilled a  
16 test well on the Lease, denominated as Well No. 31-17 (the “Well”), and conducted a single flow  
17 test of the Well for less than two weeks in 1988, the results of which suggested that the Well was  
18 capable of producing less than 5 megawatts of electricity from geothermal fluids. Plaintiffs are  
19 further informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the Well was sealed or “shut in” after  
20 the 1988 flow testing and has not been operated since that time.

21           56. On the basis of that single flow test, BLM concluded in 1989 that the Well was  
22 capable of producing geothermal steam in commercial quantities and, in 1991, continued the  
23 Lease in an additional term of up to 40 years for so long as the lessee is making diligent efforts to  
24 develop geothermal steam. Plaintiffs do not challenge either BLM’s 1989 capable well  
25 determination or its 1991 decision to continue the Lease in additional term in this case.

26           57. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that BLM has made no  
27 attempt to verify the continuing commercial capability of the Well since its capable well  
28 determination in 1989.

1           58. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that BLM has made no  
2 determination that the Lease can presently provide a reasonable return after the operator meets all  
3 costs of drilling and production.

4           59. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that no annual report  
5 of diligent exploratory efforts for the Lease has been submitted since 1995.

6           60. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that no drilling has  
7 occurred on the Lease since completion and testing of the Well in 1988.

8           61. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that no other  
9 exploratory activity has occurred on the Lease since completion and testing of the Well in 1988.

10          62. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that neither Calpine  
11 nor any former holder of the Lease has performed any activity to bring the Well into production.

12          63. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the Well, which  
13 was sealed in 1988, is no longer capable of producing geothermal resources in commercial  
14 quantities and that the Lease, therefore, no longer contains a well capable of supporting  
15 continuation of the Lease.

16          64. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that there has been no  
17 effort to develop geothermal resources on the Lease during at least the last 15 years. On  
18 November 26, 2002, Federal Defendants took final action approving a geothermal power plant  
19 project on the Lease, but Calpine did not pursue development of that project and Calpine  
20 subsequently abandoned plans to develop that project. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on  
21 that basis allege, that neither Calpine nor BLM have taken any other action with respect to the  
22 Well, the Lease, or the proposed project since that abandonment.

23 **E. Status of Unit and Unit Agreement**

24          65. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that since 2001,  
25 Calpine has been the sole owner of all remaining federal geothermal leases in the Glass Mountain  
26 Unit and is the sole operator of the Unit. The fact that all leases within the Unit are owned and  
27 operated by the same entity means that there is no longer a public interest in having “separately  
28

1 owned leases” combined into a unit, as the unit agreement regulations envision and require. BLM  
2 has not, however, evaluated this fact in managing the Unit.

3 66. The Unit Agreement mandates that the unit operator “continue diligent  
4 exploration” in the Glass Mountain Unit until discovery of a commercially viable geothermal pool  
5 and, thereafter, that the unit operator either continue to “timely drill” wells as provided in the plan  
6 of operation or submit an acceptable subsequent plan of operations “[u]ntil there is actual  
7 production” of geothermal steam.

8 67. The Unit Agreement provides that a failure to remedy a default in these  
9 requirements within a reasonable time “shall . . . result in automatic termination” of the Unit  
10 Agreement.

11 68. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that no annual report  
12 of diligent exploratory efforts for the Unit has been submitted since 1995.

13 69. By letter dated July 24, 1995, BLM informed the unit operator that it was in default  
14 of satisfying the unit diligence requirements under the Unit Agreement because the unit operator  
15 had not drilled even a single well promised over a series of prior plans of operation. BLM  
16 explained that ensuring reasonable unit diligence fell within BLM’s public interest mandate and  
17 that the Glass Mountain Unit was not in the public interest.

18 70. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the unit operator  
19 did not drill any new wells in response to BLM’s July 24, 1995 letter and has not drilled any well  
20 or undertaken any other exploratory activity in the Glass Mountain Unit since that time.

21 71. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that there is no  
22 currently approved and valid plan of operation or plan of development in place that requires  
23 drilling or other exploratory activity on the Glass Mountain Unit.

24 72. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that there has never  
25 been actual production or commercial utilization of geothermal resources within the Glass  
26 Mountain Unit.

27 73. Based on the foregoing circumstances, the Unit Agreement should have  
28 automatically terminated.

1           74. As a result of Calpine’s failure to comply with the diligence requirements of the  
2 Lease, the Unit, and the Geothermal Steam Act, and Federal Defendants’ failure to ensure  
3 compliance with these diligence requirements or terminate the Lease and Unit, the leasehold and  
4 Unit have unlawfully been allowed to remain dormant, with no exploratory activity, for more than  
5 two decades.

6           75. In 2017, BLM finally completed a first-ever review of the Unit adopted unit  
7 boundaries, which resulted in a reduction of the original area covered by the Unit Agreement,  
8 from approximately 30,000 acres to approximately 20,000 acres. Although BLM initially  
9 proposed a much greater reduction in the Unit area, BLM ultimately adopted the adjusted unit  
10 boundaries proposed by Calpine. The review document provided to Plaintiffs by BLM does not  
11 demonstrate or indicate that BLM considered the full documentation required by 43 C.F.R. §  
12 3282.5. Nor does it explain why the failure to undertake any exploratory activity or other  
13 diligence in the Unit over at least the last 15 years did not require termination of the Unit.

14           76. Plaintiffs believe that all federal geothermal leases in the Unit other than the Lease  
15 at issue here were declared invalid in either Pit River Tribe v. Bureau of Land Management, 939  
16 F.3d 962 (9th Cir. 2019), or Pit River Tribe v. U.S. Forest Service, 469 F.3d 768 (9th Cir. 2006).  
17 These judicial decisions held that BLM unlawfully extended or continued 28 non-producing  
18 leases, none of which contains a well determined capable of producing geothermal resources in  
19 commercial quantities.

20           77. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants intend  
21 to rely on the existence of the Lease and/or the Unit as the basis for attempting to continue, extend,  
22 or otherwise renew the non-producing leases covered by the Unit Agreement that were the subject  
23 of prior judicial invalidation. Plaintiffs are injured and harmed by Defendants’ unlawful actions  
24 because the continuing existence of the Lease and the Unit prevents the Forest Service’s full  
25 implementation of the Medicine Lake Highlands Historic Properties Management Plan developed  
26 in 2007 and impedes Plaintiffs’ ability to use and enjoy the unitized lands as otherwise permitted  
27 under the multiple and open use statutory mandates for the public lands within the Unit.

28

1 **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

2 **First Cause of Action**

3 **(Ongoing Violation of the Geothermal Steam Act**  
4 **for Failure to Terminate the Lease)**

5 78. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations of paragraphs  
6 1 through 77 herein as if set forth in full.

7 79. Federal Defendants have violated, and continue to violate, their mandatory legal  
8 duty under the Geothermal Steam Act, 30 U.S.C. § 1005, its implementing regulations, and the  
9 Lease by unlawfully failing to terminate the Lease for noncompliance with the “diligent efforts”  
10 requirements of the Geothermal Steam Act for at least the last 15 years and by failing to verify the  
11 continuing commercial viability of Well No. 31-17. This violation is ongoing and continues, from  
12 day to day, to the present time.

13 80. Federal Defendants’ failure to comply with their mandatory duty under the  
14 Geothermal Steam Act and its implementing regulations constitutes an agency action unlawfully  
15 withheld or unreasonably delayed under the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 706(1).  
16 That failure to act is arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, unsupported by substantial  
17 evidence, or otherwise not in accordance with law and is subject to judicial review under the  
18 Administrative Procedure Act and the Geothermal Steam Act.

19 **Second Cause of Action**

20 **(Ongoing Violation of the Geothermal Steam Act for Failure to**  
21 **Terminate the Glass Mountain Unit)**

22 81. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations of paragraphs  
23 1 through 77 herein as if set forth in full.

24 82. Federal Defendants have violated, and continue to violate, their mandatory  
25 statutory duty under section 1017 of the Geothermal Steam Act and its implementing regulations  
26 to terminate the Unit for no longer being in the public interest and for lack of diligent efforts by  
27 the Unit operator. In particular, Federal Defendants should have terminated the Glass Mountain  
28 Unit because (a) the Unit now consists of a single valid lease, Lease CA12372, and a single lessee,  
eliminating any public interest in a unit agreement; (b) no exploratory activities have been  
undertaken within the Unit since at least 1995 and no other diligent efforts have occurred on the

1 Unit for at least 15 years; (c) there is not at this time an approved plan of operation or  
2 development designed to achieve actual production and no such plan has been in place for at least  
3 25 years; and (d) there currently is no evidence or showing that Well 31-17, or any other well  
4 within the Unit, is capable of producing a sufficient volume of geothermal steam to provide a  
5 reasonable rate of return after drilling and production costs and thus no evidence that the Unit  
6 currently contains a well capable of producing geothermal resources in commercial quantities.  
7 This violation is ongoing and continues, from day to day, to the present time.

8 83. Federal Defendants' failure to comply with their mandatory duty under the  
9 Geothermal Steam Act and its implementing regulations constitutes an agency action unlawfully  
10 withheld or unreasonably delayed under the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 706(1).  
11 That failure to act is arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, unsupported by substantial  
12 evidence, or otherwise not in accordance with law and is subject to judicial review under the  
13 Administrative Procedure Act and the Geothermal Steam Act.

14 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

15 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court:

- 16 1. Declare that Calpine is not in compliance with the requirements of the Geothermal  
17 Steam Act, its implementing regulations, the Lease, and the Unit Agreement and that such  
18 violations continue to this day;
- 19 2. Declare that Federal Defendants BLM and Department of the Interior have violated  
20 the Geothermal Steam Act, its implementing regulations, and the Lease's diligence requirements  
21 by failing to terminate the Lease and that such violation continues to this day;
- 22 3. Declare that Federal Defendants BLM and Department of the Interior have violated  
23 the Geothermal Steam Act and its implementing regulations by failing to terminate the Unit on the  
24 grounds that the Unit is no longer in the public interest and/or for lack of diligent efforts and that  
25 such violation is ongoing;
- 26 4. Compel, direct, and order Federal Defendants to terminate the Lease and Unit;
- 27 5. Enjoin any further activity in reliance on the Lease or Unit Agreement;
- 28

