

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Civil Rights Action  
Opposition to dismiss  
Addendum

RECEIVED BY MAIL

DEC 26 2019

Joseph Gilliam  
Vs  
Robin Rauschett

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DULUTH, MINNESOTA

Joe Gilliam argue on grounds that this case should not be dismissed for the following reasons; The plaintiff has no physical disability, no doctors care and no ailment, he has no restrictions, this incident occurred on private property and not on the casino property. Joe Gilliam never informed the casino that if he is late to call the police to witness and break into his home to check his wellness. He was forced by the casino to quit the job or be fired, and was told that he can reapply again for his position as security officer in 60 days if he quits the job, if he chose to be fired, that he would have to wait one year before applying for the position again. During the time that he was away from work at no time management from the casino ever called or came to his property to check on his wellness, the casino is claiming immunity also that their workers are immune from civil suit which means that the casino can do anything and the workers can as well, and can claim immunity. It is well established that the casino cannot be held liable under the 1964 civil rights act. However, the employees who violate the civil rights act of 1964 can be held liable for violation of civil rights. Therefore the tribes have no immunity outside the reservation for violation of the plaintiff's 4th amendment rights. However, if the court dismisses the claim against the plaintiff then the employees have no immunity, for violation of the plaintiff's 4th amendment rights. Therefore the plaintiff is asking that the court not to dismiss. The defendant claim grounds of immunity

Joseph Gilliam  
905 Fire Monument Rd  
Hinckley 55037

Signature

*Joseph H. Gilliam*

Date

*12-20-2019*

#  
*19-CV-2749 (NEB/LIB)*  
*GILLIAM VS. RAUSCHETT*

SCANNED

DEC 26 2019

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DULUTH *MB*