Are Hawaiians Indians? The Justice Department Thinks So: Rule of Law

Kavanaugh, Brett M

Wall Street Journal (1923 - Current file): Sep 27, 1999;

ProQuest Historical Newspapers: The Wall Street Journal pg. A35

Are Hawaiians Indians? The Justice Department Thinks So.

The Aloha state has two classes of citizens: there are Hawaiians and then there are real Hawaiians.

Ai least that's the message of the state office of Hawalian Affairs, which doles out money to certain citizens solely because of their race—in this case, only to Hawalians of Polynesian origin ("native Hawalians," for shortl, By Jaw, OHA officers must be native Hawalians and only native Hawalians can vote in the satewide bections for officers. Hawalians and all other eithnic backgrounds (whether Latino or African-American or Caucasian, for example) are barred because of their race from receiving OHA funds, volting in OHA elections, or serving as OHA fofficers.

Rule of Law

By Brett M. Kavanaugh

Sound blatantly unconstitutional? It did to Harold Rice, who was born and bred in Hawail, but is not of the preferred race the is white). Rice brought a case against the state contesting this racial scheme, in particular, the state's racial voting qualification.

Rice's case has now reached the Supreme Court, which is scheduled to hear arguments on Col. 6. Rice v. Ougetone has implications far beyond the 56th state. It impairs the cracia-spoils system, after all, makes remedial set-asides and hiring and admissions preferences look almost trivial by comparison. And II llawall is permitted to offer these extraordinary privileges to residents on the basis of race or einfoltent factors.

The Clinton Justice Department nonetheless has filed a brief contending that one's race (at least, if you're a native Hawailan) can be the sole basis for voting in a state election, serving in a state office, and receiving awards of state money. As a matter of sheer political calculation, of course, the explanation for Justice's position seems evident. Hawaii is a strongly Democratic state, and the politically correct position there is to support the state's system of racial Separatism. But the Justice Department and its Solicitor General are supposed to put law and principle above politics and expediency. And the simple constitutional question posed by Rice is whether Hawali, by denying citizens the right to vote in a state election on account of race, has violated the 14th and 15th Amendments, which prohibit states from denying individuals the right to vote on account of race. No doubt recognizing that Hawaii's

racial spoils system, including its racibly objective to the Justice Department based and the Justice Department has charded a novel legal course. Justice contends that native Hawaillans are the equivalent of an American Indian trib because Hawaillans are descendants of an "Indigenous people" just like American Indians. Therefore, Justice argues, Hawaills racial scheme is equivalent to constitutionally personal properties of the properties

But the Justice Department's argument is seriously flawed both as a legal and historical matter. The Constitution expressly established special rules for Indian tribes because the Founders considered Indian tribes to be separate sovereigns. To convert this express recognition of Indian tribal sovereignty into a sweeping license for favorable race-based treatment of the descendants of indigenous people is to allow political correctness to trump the Constitution. A group of people must, in fact, constitute an Indian tribe in order to qualify for the special treatment afforded tribes under the Constitution. The Department of Interior has established strict criteria governing recognition of Indian tribes. Those regulations specify that federal recognition as a tribe is a "prerequisite to the protection, services and benefits of the Federal government available to Indian tribes."

ermuent available to hadana tribes."
But neither the Congress nor the Department of Interior has recognized native
Hawailians as an Indian tribe, What's more,
Hawailians have never even applied for
recognition as an Indian tribe. The reason
is obvious. Native Hawailians couldn't possiby quality. They don't have their own government. They don't have their own government. They don't have their own dected
leaders. They don't live on reservations or

Hawaii's naked racialspoils system makes remedial set-asides and hiring and admissions preferences look almost trivial by comparison.

in territorial enclaves. They don't even live together in Hawaii. Native Hawaiians are dispersed throughout the state of Hawaii and the United States. In short, native Hawaiians bear none of the indicia necessary to qualify as an Indian tribe.

saly (Organity as an indiant (ribe:
If Hawali Can eact's special degislation
for native Hawaijians by analogizing them
to Indian tribes, suby can't a state do the
same (or Michan-Mericans? Or for Trish Americans?
After all, Hawaijians originally came from
"Indigenous," so why not the same for
"Indigenous," is which a proposition of the same for
"Indigenous," is which are proposed? It essentially means that any racial group willing
the same for the same for
"Indigenous," and "Indigenous," and
"Indigenous," and
"Indigenous," and
"Indigenous," and
"Indigenous," and
"Indigenous," and
"Indigenous," and
"Indigenous," and
"Indigenous," an

forced in the last decade.

And that's not all. By claiming that nather Hawalians deserve special privileges because their ancestors lived in Hawali, the Justice Department's position is also flereely anti-lumigrant, flouting the principle that all American citizens have equal rights regardless of when they became cit-

At his 1858 Fourth of July address, President Lincoln emphasized that all citizens. whether descended from signers of the Declaration of Independence or new arrivals. were the same in the eyes of the law. As to the new arrivals, he said, "when they look through that old Declaration they find, 'We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal,' and then they feel that that moral sentiment evidences their relation to those men, and that they have a right to claim it as though they were blood of the blood, and flesh of the flesh, of the men who wrote that Declaration, and so they are," But now the Justice Department has turned its back on that bedrock American ideal by arguing that some Hawaiians can't vote in certain state elections solely because their ancestors didn't live in Hawaii.

Rice v. Conyctamo, then, is of great moment. The Supreme Court ought not be fooled by the Justice Department's simpliste and far-reaching effort to convert an ethnic group into an Indian tribe. Bather; the Court should rule for Harold Rice and subsers to the fundamental constitutional principle most clearly articulated by Justice Antonia Scalar. "Under our Constitution and the Court of the Court of government, we are just one race here. It is American."

Mr. Kavanaugh is an attorney in Washington and together with Robert H. Bork filed an amieus brief in Rice v. Cayetano supporting Hurold Rice.