

NO SUMMONS ISSUED

CM-010

FOR COURT USE ONLY

ENDORSED FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

JAN 29 2018

CLERK OF THE COURT
BY: ROSSALY DE LA VEGA
Deputy Clerk

by fax

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):
Little Fawn Boland (SBN 240181)
Ceiba Legal, LLP
35 Madrone Park Circle
Mill Valley, CA 94941
TELEPHONE NO.: (415) 684-7670 FAX NO.: (415) 684-7273
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Coyote Valley Band of Pomo Indians

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Francisco
STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street
MAILING ADDRESS:
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco, CA 94102
BRANCH NAME: Civil

CASE NAME:
Coyote Valley Band of Pomo Indians v. McKesson Corporation, et al.

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET
 Unlimited (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000)
 Limited (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)

Complex Case Designation
 Counter Joinder
Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)


CASE NUMBER: CGC-18-563933
JUDGE:
DEPT:

Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).

1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:
- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| Auto Tort
<input type="checkbox"/> Auto (22)
<input type="checkbox"/> Uninsured motorist (46)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort
<input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos (04)
<input type="checkbox"/> Product liability (24)
<input type="checkbox"/> Medical malpractice (45)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other PI/PD/WD (23)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort
<input type="checkbox"/> Business tort/unfair business practice (07)
<input type="checkbox"/> Civil rights (08)
<input type="checkbox"/> Defamation (13)
<input type="checkbox"/> Fraud (16)
<input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual property (19)
<input type="checkbox"/> Professional negligence (25)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)
Employment
<input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful termination (36)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other employment (15) | Contract
<input type="checkbox"/> Breach of contract/warranty (06)
<input type="checkbox"/> Rule 3.740 collections (09)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other collections (09)
<input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage (18)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other contract (37)
Real Property
<input type="checkbox"/> Eminent domain/inverse condemnation (14)
<input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful eviction (33)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other real property (26)
Unlawful Detainer
<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial (31)
<input type="checkbox"/> Residential (32)
<input type="checkbox"/> Drugs (38)
Judicial Review
<input type="checkbox"/> Asset forfeiture (05)
<input type="checkbox"/> Petition re: arbitration award (11)
<input type="checkbox"/> Writ of mandate (02)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other judicial review (39) | Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
<input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
<input type="checkbox"/> Construction defect (10)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mass tort (40)
<input type="checkbox"/> Securities litigation (28)
<input type="checkbox"/> Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
<input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41)
Enforcement of Judgment
<input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement of judgment (20)
Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
<input type="checkbox"/> RICO (27)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Miscellaneous Civil Petition
<input type="checkbox"/> Partnership and corporate governance (21)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other petition (not specified above) (43) |
|--|--|---|

2. This case is is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:
- | | |
|--|---|
| a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Large number of separately represented parties | d. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Large number of witnesses |
| b. <input type="checkbox"/> Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve | e. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court |
| c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Substantial amount of documentary evidence | f. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision |
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 5: nuisance, negligence/gross negl., unjust enrichment, fraud, conspiracy
5. This case is is not a class action suit.
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

Date: 1/29/2018
Little Fawn Boland
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)


(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

NOTICE

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

by fax

NO SUMMONS ISSUED

ENDORSED
FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court
JAN 29 2018
CLERK OF THE COURT
BY: ROSSALY DE LA VEGA
Deputy Clerk

1 LITTLE FAWN BOLAND (SBN 240181)
2 CEIBA LEGAL, LLP
3 35 Madrone Park Circle
4 Mill Valley, CA 94941
5 Telephone: (415) 684-7670
6 Facsimile: (415) 684-7273
7 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
8 COYOTE VALLEY BAND OF POMO INDIANS

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

11 COYOTE VALLEY BAND OF POMO)
12 INDIANS,)
13)
14)
15)
16)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

Plaintiff,

vs.

MCKESSON CORPORATION;
CARDINAL HEALTH, INC.;
AMERISOURCEBERGEN CORPORATION;
CVS HEALTH CORPORATION;
WALGREENS BOOTS ALLIANCE, INC.;
WAL-MART STORES, INC.,
PURDUE PHARMA L.P.;
PURDUE PHARMA, INC.;
THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY, INC.;
TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES,
LTD.;
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.;
CEPHALON, INC.;
JOHNSON & JOHNSON;
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;
ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. n/k/a JANSSEN
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;

Case No.: CGC-18-563933

- COMPLAINT FOR:
- 1. Nuisance;
 - 2. Negligence & Gross Negligence;
 - 3. Unjust Enrichment;
 - 4. Common Law Fraud; and
 - 5. Civil Conspiracy

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

By Fax

NO SUMMONS ISSUED

ENDORSED
FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court
JAN 29 2018
CLERK OF THE COURT
BY: ROSSALY DE LA VEGA
Deputy Clerk

1 LITTLE FAWN BOLAND (SBN 240181)
2 CEIBA LEGAL, LLP
3 35 Madrone Park Circle
4 Mill Valley, CA 94941
5 Telephone: (415) 684-7670
6 Facsimile: (415) 684-7273
7
8 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
9 COYOTE VALLEY BAND OF POMO INDIANS

10
11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

13 COYOTE VALLEY BAND OF POMO INDIANS,
14
15 Plaintiff,
16
17 vs.
18
19 MCKESSON CORPORATION;
20 CARDINAL HEALTH, INC.;
21 AMERISOURCEBERGEN CORPORATION;
22 CVS HEALTH CORPORATION;
23 WALGREENS BOOTS ALLIANCE, INC.;
24 WAL-MART STORES, INC.,
25 PURDUE PHARMA L.P.;
26 PURDUE PHARMA, INC.;
27 THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY, INC.;
28 TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES, LTD.;
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.;
CEPHALON, INC.;
JOHNSON & JOHNSON;
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;
ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. n/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;

CGC-18-563933

Case No.:

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF

A Case Management Conference is set for:

DATE: JUL-11-2018
TIME: 10:30AM
PLACE: Department 610
400 McAllister Street
San Francisco, CA 94102-3680

All parties must appear and comply with Local Rule 3.

CRC 3.725 requires the filing and service of a case management statement form CM-110 no later than 15 days before the case management conference. However, it would facilitate the issuance of a case management order **without an appearance** at the case management conference if the case management statement is filed, served and lodged in Department 610 twenty-five (25) days before the case management conference.

Plaintiff must serve a copy of this notice upon each party to this action with the summons and complaint. Proof of service subsequently filed with this court shall so state. **This case is eligible for electronic filing and service per Local Rule 2.11. For more information, please visit the Court's website at www.sfsuperiorcourt.org under Online Services.**

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION POLICY REQUIREMENTS

**IT IS THE POLICY OF THE SUPERIOR COURT THAT EVERY CIVIL CASE PARTICIPATE IN EITHER MEDIATION, JUDICIAL OR NON-JUDICIAL ARBITRATION, THE EARLY SETTLEMENT PROGRAM OR SOME SUITABLE FORM OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PRIOR TO A TRIAL.
(SEE LOCAL RULE 4)**

Plaintiff must serve a copy of the Alternative Dispute Resolution Information Package on each defendant along with the complaint. All counsel must discuss ADR with clients and opposing counsel and provide clients with a copy of the Alternative Dispute Resolution Information Package prior to filing the Case Management Statement.

[DEFENDANTS: Attending the Case Management Conference does not take the place of filing a written response to the complaint. You must file a written response with the court within the time limit required by law. See Summons.]

Superior Court Alternative Dispute Resolution Coordinator
400 McAllister Street, Room 103
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 551-3869

See Local Rules 3.3, 6.0 C and 10 B re stipulation to judge pro tem.

BBB

Superior Court of California,
County of San Francisco
Civil/Small Claims

Jan-29-2018 W0818129F018 RDELAVEGA
16:10:11

CASE NUMBER: CBC-18-563933

COYOTE VALLEY BAND OF POMO INDIANS VS. M
C KESSON CORPORATION

CIVIL COMPLAINT/PETITION/OTHER FIRST PAP
ER

FILED BY

COURT APPEARANCE SCHEDULED FOR
WEDNESDAY, JUL 11, 2018 AT 10:30 AM
IN COURTROOM 610,
CIVIC CENTER COURTHOUSE

FEE: \$1,450.00 PAID BY CHECK

THANK YOU

Experienced mediators are available in the following areas

Business
Civil Rights
Commercial
Construction
Contracts
Disability
Discrimination
Education
Employment/Workplace
Environmental
Family
Family-Certified Specialists
Fee Disputes
Financial
Government
Insurance
Intellectual Property
Intra-Organizational
Labor
Landlord/Tenant
Land Use
LGBT Issues
Malpractice: Legal-Medical-Professional
Partnership Dissolutions
Personal Injury
Probate/Trust
Products Liability
Real Estate
Securities
Taxation
Uninsured Motorist
And more...

TESTIMONIALS

"This was the third attempt to mediate this case, and the BASF mediator was far and away the best mediator. I dare say that we would not have settled today but for his efforts."

George Yuhas, Esq.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

"We had an excellent experience and, after 8 1/2 hours of mediation, [the BASF mediator] settled a very difficult case involving claims against four clients of ours by a wealthy investor who claimed inadequate disclosure was made."

Robert Charles Friese, Esq.
Shartsis Friese LLP

"When the other side made their offer, I thought there was no way we would reach an agreement – we were too far apart, but the mediator brought us together. He saved me a lot of time and aggravation by facilitating a settlement. Thanks!"

Leslie Caplan
Global Warming Campaign Manager
Bluewater Network

"BASF staff was very helpful – stayed on the task and kept after a hard to reach party. The mediator was great!"

Mark Abelson, Esq.
Campagnoli, Abelson & Campagnoli

"The [BASF] mediator was excellent! He was effective with some strong, forceful personalities."

Denise A. Leadbetter, Esq.
Zacks, Utrecht & Leadbetter



MEDIATION SERVICES



PROCEDURES, PODCASTS,
FORMS, MEDIATOR BIOGRAPHIES
AND PHOTOGRAPHS:
www.sfbar.org/mediation

adr@sfbar.org or
415-982-1600



THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF
SAN FRANCISCO

QUALITY

WHAT IS BASF'S MEDIATION SERVICE?

The Bar Association of San Francisco's Mediation Services is a private mediation service which will assist you with almost any type of dispute, from simple contract disputes to complex commercial matters.

WHO ARE THE MEDIATORS?

They are established mediators who have private mediation practices and have met our extensive experience requirements. By going through BASF you receive the services of these highly qualified mediators at a great value.

HOW DO I LEARN MORE ABOUT THE MEDIATORS?

BASF's website at www.sfbar.org/mediation provides bios, photos and hourly rates of mediators. You can search by name or by area of law needed for your case. BASF staff is always available to assist you with selection or to answer questions.

HOW MUCH DOES THE SERVICE COST?

A \$295 per party administrative fee is paid to BASF at the time the Consent to Mediate form is filed. This fee covers the first hour of mediator preparation time and the first two hours of session time. Time beyond that is paid at the mediator's normal hourly rate.

EXPERIENCE

HOW IS THE MEDIATOR CHOSEN?

You may request a specific mediator from our website (www.sfbar.org/mediation) and indicate your choice on the BASF Consent to Mediate form, or you may indicate on the form that you would like BASF staff to assist with the selection.

WHY SHOULD I GO THROUGH BASF? CAN'T I JUST CALL THE MEDIATOR DIRECTLY?

BASF mediators have agreed to provide three free hours as a service to BASF. If you go directly to one of our mediators, you do not qualify for the free hours unless you notify us. Once you have filed with us, you will talk directly to the mediator to ask questions and to set a convenient mediation date and time.

HOW LONG IS THE MEDIATION SESSION?

The time spent in mediation will vary depending on your dispute. BASF mediators are dedicated to reaching a settlement, whether you need a few hours or several days.

WHO CAN USE THE SERVICE?

BASF mediation can be utilized by anyone and is NOT limited to San Francisco residents or issues. Also, the service may be used before a court action is filed or at any time during a court action.

TRUST

OUR CASE IS FILED IN COURT. HOW DO WE USE BASF'S MEDIATION SERVICES?

When you file the San Francisco Superior Court's Stipulation to ADR form, check the box indicating "Mediation Services of BASF." Then complete BASF's Consent to Mediate form found on our website and file it with us. (If the matter was filed in a different county, please check with that court for the appropriate process.)

WE ARE ON A DEADLINE; HOW QUICKLY CAN WE MEDIATE?

Once all parties have filed all the paperwork, BASF can normally have you in touch with the mediator within a day or two. If there is a deadline, BASF staff will give the matter top priority.

WHAT TYPES OF DISPUTES CAN I MEDIATE?

BASF mediators are trained in 30+ areas of law. If you don't see the area you need on our website or in this brochure, contact us; it is very likely we can match your need with one of our panelists.

MORE INFORMATION

Visit our website (www.sfbar.org/mediation) where you can search by name or by area of law. For personal assistance, please call 415-982-1600.