JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil do	cket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	7 THIS FO	RM.)							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS G2, INC.,				DEFENDANTS PONCA TRIBE OF INDIANS OF OKLAHOMA							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Tulsa (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Kay (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A.D. Mitchell Garrett, Jr., Ol. P. O. Box 1349; Tulsa, O. (918) 895-7216; fax (918)	BA #20704 - Garrett L K 74101-1349	e) aw Center, PLLC		Attorneys (If Kr	nown)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP C	F PR	INCIP	AL PARTIES				
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases (Only) PTI		Incorporated or Pri		or Defenda PTF ISI 4	int) DEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant				Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State 5 0							
				en or Subject of a		3 🗇 3	3 Foreign Nation		□ 6 ————————————————————————————————————	X 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	ly)									
CONTRACT	716			ORFEITURE/PENAI			NKRUPTCY	J	STATUT		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☑ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. W/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth	0 69	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standard Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Employee Retireme Income Security Ac 10 MMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Appl 65 Other Immigration	dis dis distribution di contraction	423 Wi 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	USC 157 ERTY RIGHTS pyrights tent tidemark L SECURITY A (1395ff) tack Lung (923) WC/DIWW (405(g)) ID Title XVI	480 Consur 490 Cable/5 850 Securit Excha 890 Other S 891 Agricu 893 Enviror 895 Freedo 896 Arbitra 899 Admin Act/Re	eapportion st and Bankin erce ation eer Influen to Organiza ner Credit Sat TV ies/Comm nge statutory A ltural Acts numental M m of Infor tion istrative Pr view or A ly Decision utionality	need and tions odities/ actions; latters mation rocedure	
	moved from 🔲 3					rred from	□ 6 Multidistr		-		
	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reo	pened A	specify)	District	Litigation				
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 28 USC 1362	atute under which you a	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictio	nal statu	ites unless	diversity):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca		uet Enr	ichment							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Breach of Contract, Conversion, Unjustive Technology CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.				DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No							
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCI	KET NUMBER				
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 08/22/2013 /s/D. Mitchell Garrett, Jr.											
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				·							
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUI	OGE		MAG. JU	DGE			

IN THE UNITEDE STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

1.	G2, INC.,)		
)		
	Plaintiff,)		
)		
	v.)	Case No:	CIV-13-898-F
)		
1.	PONCA TRIBE OF INDIANS OF)		
	OKLAHOMA,)		
)		
	Defendant.)		

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, G2, Inc., ("G2"), a Sac and Fox Corporation, by and through its attorneys of record, D. Mitchell Garrett, Jr. of Garrett Law Center, PLLC, and for its claims and allegations against the Defendant, PONCA TRIBE OF INDIANS OF OKLAHOMA (the "Ponca Tribe"), a federally recognized Indian tribe, doing business as BLUE STAR GAMING AND CASINO ("Blue Star"), and in support thereof, states as follows:

- 1. The Ponca Tribe is a federally recognized Indian tribe. In addition to its status as a federally-recognized Indian tribe, upon information and belief, the Ponca Tribe is and at all times relevant hereto was a federal corporation chartered under the *Oklahoma Indian Welfare Act*, 25 § USCS 501, et seq., and the *Indian Reorganization Act*, 25 USCS § 465, et seq., recognized by the United States Department of the Interior and ratified by Corporate Charter on or about September 20, 1950.
- 2. As a federally recognized Indian tribe, the Ponca Tribe possesses a limited sovereign immunity from civil actions. Due to the intentional nature of these torts, the tribe has waived its immunity and proper jurisdiction lies with this Court. A copy of the Construction Loan is attached hereto and made a part hereof as *Exhibit 1*.

- 3. The Ponca Tribal Court would not have subject matter jurisdiction in which case to regulate a non-Indian. *Montana v. United States*, 450 U.S. 544. Nor does the Plaintiff G2 maintain minimum contacts to give Tribal Courts personal jurisdiction over this case.
 - 4. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 USC 1362.

COUNT I - BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 5. On March 1, 2010, the Ponca Tribe executed a "Construction Loan" in favor of G2 in the principal amount of \$750,000.00, with a rider that all construction should be performed at cost plus 10%.
 - 6. G2 performed all of its obligations under the Construction Loan contract.
- 7. The Ponca Tribe had been substantially performing on this Construction Loan by making payments to G2 in the amount of \$35,000.00 per month. See attached *Exhibit 2*.
- 8. Despite G2's notice of default and demand for repayment, the Ponca Tribe has defaulted on repayment pursuant to the terms of the Construction Loan. Said failure constitutes an event of default pursuant to the Construction Loan. The Ponca Tribe breached its contract with G2 by failing to make payments on the principal balance, and failing to otherwise cure the default.
- 9. G2 has sustained damages in connection with the Ponca Tribe's breach of contract.
- 10. G2 has sustained damages and costs in connection with the Ponca Tribe's continued use of its tax license, incurring fees and monies owed (OTC Case No. P-13-037-K).
- 11. G2 is entitled to recover damages it has sustained, including repayment of the remaining principal balance of \$350,000.00, interest which continues to accrue, plus the expenses related to filing this action and reasonable attorneys' fees.

COUNT II - CONVERSION

- 12. G2 incorporates and reasserts each of the allegations in paragraphs 1-11 as if fully asserted herein.
- 13. At the time the Ponca Indian Tribe and its Gaming Commissioner locked G2 and G2's CEO Gary Watkins out of their offices, each had personal property and corporate assets located inside the office. A list of the corporate property believed to be present in the offices is attached hereto as *Exhibit 3*. The list is based primarily on G2's memories and is not intended to be exhaustive.
- 14. On August 31, 2011, counsel for G2 called counsel for the Ponca Tribe requesting that counsel and/or one of its employees be allowed entry to the offices to remove G2's personal and corporate property and/or make inventory of it. However, counsel for the Ponca Tribe said that (a) an inventory was underway; (b) representatives for G2 to reclaim their personal and corporate property are not necessary and (c) in no way were G2 or its CEO, Mr. Watkins, to enter the property and attempt to reclaim any of their personal or corporate property. See attached *Exhibit 4*.
- 15. G2 has a right to possess the property in question, and the Ponca Tribe have wrongfully interfered with that right. Therefore, the Ponca Tribe is liable to G2 for conversion.
 - 16. G2 seeks punitive damages due to the intentional nature of these acts.

COUNT III - UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 17. G2 incorporates and reasserts each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-16 as if fully asserted herein.
- 18. Pursuant to its responsibilities as an employee and contractor, G2 has incurred expenses on two (2) personal credit cards for the purpose of funding Two River Casino's

operations. This amount was incurred for the benefit of and on behalf of the Ponca Tribe, and is properly the debt of the Ponca Tribe.

19. Failure of the Ponca Tribe to pay this amount would result in unjust enrichment to the detriment of G2.

COUNT IV – ANTICIPATORY REPUDIATION

- 20. G2 incorporates and reasserts each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-19 as if fully asserted herein.
- 21. G2 has served as an employee of the Ponca Tribe for Two Rivers Casino, for which it has not been paid. Pursuant to this contract, G2 and its employees are owed back salaries and wages.
- 22. In a letter from the Ponca Tribal Gaming Commissioner sent on August 31, 2011 the Ponca Gaming Commissioner represented that Mr. Watkins was not to enter the premises to claim any of G2's property and thus this owed amount would not be paid. The Ponca Tribe has therefore anticipatorily repudiated its contractual obligations to G2.

COUNT V – UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 23. G2 incorporates and reasserts each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-22 as if fully asserted herein.
- 24. G2 obtained a Mixed Beverage Tax License and paid the taxes. The Ponca Tribe continued to operate under G2's Mixed Beverage Tax License without any reimbursement to G2 for its taxes and costs incurred.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, G2, Inc., a Sac and Fox Corporation, respectfully requests that this Court (1) enter judgment in favor of G2 and against the Defendant, PONCA TRIBE OF INDIANS OF OKLAHOMA, a federally recognized Indian tribe; (2) order the Ponca Tribe to

pay G2 the amount of \$350,000.00, along with interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs of this action; (3) enter judgment in favor of G2 and against the Defendant, PONCA TRIBE OF INDIANS OF OKLAHOMA, a federally recognized Indian tribe, for conversion and allow G2 to reclaim its property; and (4) for such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted this 22nd day of August, 2013.

GARRETT LAW CENTER, PLLC

By: /s/D. Mitchell Garrett, Jr.

D. Mitchell Garrett Jr., OBA # 20704

P.O. Box 1349

Tulsa, OK 74101-1349

Telephone: 918-895-7216

Fax: 918-895-7217

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF